

Price : £2.25

THE STATES assembled on Tuesday,  
14th February 1995 at 9.30 a.m. under  
the Presidency of the Greffier of the States,  
Geoffrey Henry Charles Coppock, Esquire

---

All Members were present with the exception of -

Senator Reginald Robert Jeune - out of the  
Island  
Senator Terence John Le Main - out of the  
Island  
Senator Antony Beresford Chinn - out of the  
Island  
Derek Ryder Maltwood, Deputy of St. Mary -  
out of the Island  
John Nicolle Le Fondré, Deputy of St.  
Lawrence - ill  
David Leon Crespel, Deputy of St. Helier -  
ill  
Paul Francis Routier, Deputy of St.  
Helier - out of the Island.

---

Prayers

---

Education Committee - resignation of member

THE STATES noted the resignation of Francis  
Herbert Amy, Connétable of Grouville, from the  
Education Committee.

Education Committee - appointment of member

THE STATES appointed Deputy Philip John Rondel  
of St. John as a member of the Education  
Committee.

Matters presented

The following matters were presented to the States -

1. Housing: States' rental waiting list and development programme as at 1st January 1995. R.C.2/95.  
Presented by the Housing Committee.
2. Births, marriages and deaths in 1994 - statement. R.C.3/95.  
Presented by the Etat Civil Committee.
3. Imprisonment for debt - report. R.C.4/95.  
Presented by the Legislation Committee.

Matters noted - land transactions

THE STATES noted an Act of the Finance and Economics Committee dated 6th February 1995, showing that, in pursuance of Standing Orders relating to certain transactions in land, the Committee had approved -

- (a) as recommended by the Committee for Postal Administration, the entering into of a Deed of Arrangement with Royal Trust Properties Limited in order to grant certain access rights onto Nos. 15-17 Broad Street, St. Helier for the purpose of maintaining portions of the eastern boundary walls of Nos. 19-21 Broad Street. The Deed of Arrangement would also provide for the retention of protective flashings on the party wall until such time as Royal Trust Properties Limited were required to remove them to facilitate any building work to the rear of the Broad Street Post Office premises. The Deed of Arrangement would include an undertaking requiring Royal Trust Properties Limited to undertake any necessary works as quickly as possible, to cause the least inconvenience to the public and to reinstate any affected areas of public property to the satisfaction of the Committee for Postal Administration. Royal Trust Properties Limited would be responsible for all legal expenses incurred by the

public in relation to this transaction;

(b) as recommended by the Sport, Leisure and Recreation Committee, the annulment of the unexpired portion of the contract lease entered into between the public and the Regency Suite Discothèque and Restaurant Limited, passed before the Royal Court on 10th October 1980, from 4th November 1994;

(c) as recommended by the Sport, Leisure and Recreation Committee, the lease to the undermentioned of the specified areas of land in the vicinity of Les Creux, St. Brelade, for a period of six months from 23rd January 1995, at an annual rent of £120 a vergée, on the basis that a green crop would be required to be left in the land following the proposed potato crop -

(i) Philip John Rondel - Fields Nos. 533B, 536 and 573, St. Brelade (together measuring approximately 15 vergées) at an annual rent of £1,800;

(ii) Kenneth Huelin - remainder of the Le Gallais land, St. Brelade (approximately 70 vergées) at an annual rent of £8,400;

(d) as recommended by the Planning and Environment Committee, the purchase from Mr. Derek Charles Le Tourneur of Tremont House, La Rue à Don, Grouville, for a sum of £160,000, on the basis that the Committee would be responsible for all legal fees involved in the transaction;

(e) as recommended by the Planning and Environment Committee -

(i) the cancellation of the contract sub-lease dated 22nd October 1993 by Hue Street Renovations Limited to Historical Renovations Limited of Nos. 1, 3, 5 and 7 Hue Street, St. Helier; and

(ii) the assignment by Hue Street Renovations Limited to Historical Renovations Limited of the former's interest in No. 9 Hue

Street, St. Helier;

(f) as recommended by the Public Services Committee, the renewal of the lease from the Tenants de la Commune de la Moie, of the area of land forming the public car park at La Pulente, St. Brelade, for a period of three years from 1st May 1994, at an annual rent of £200, subject to annual review;

(g) as recommended by the Establishment Committee, the renewal of the lease from Mrs. Elizabeth Ruth McHoul, née Creighton, of La Fontaine, Spring Grove, Rue de la Blanche Pierre, St. Lawrence, for occupation by an Assistant Law Draftsman, for a period of one year from 1st February 1995, at an annual rent of £8,580.

Matter noted - acceptance of tender

THE STATES noted an Act of the Finance and Economics Committee dated 6th February 1995, showing that in pursuance of Rule 5 of the Public Finances (General) (Jersey) Rules 1967, as amended, the Committee had noted that the Housing Committee had accepted the lowest of nine tenders, namely that submitted by Hacquoil and Cook Limited in the sum of £166,817, in a contract period of 20 weeks, for the development of two two-bedroomed houses at St. Martin's Arsenal infill site phase II.

Matters lodged

The following subjects were lodged ``au Greffe" -

1. Draft Social Security (Reciprocal Agreement with New Zealand) (Jersey) Act 199 - P.13/95.  
Presented by the Employment and Social Security Committee.
2. Draft Advocates (Amendment No. 3) (Jersey) Law 1995 (Appointed Day) Act 199 - P.14/95.  
Presented by the Legislation Committee.
3. Projet de Loi (199 ) sur la Cour

pour le recouvrement de menues dettes -  
P.15/95.  
Presented by the Legislation  
Committee.

4. Draft Compulsory Purchase of  
Land (Procedure) (Amendment No. 6)  
(Jersey) Law 199 - P.16/95.  
Presented by the Legislation  
Committee.

5. Kart racing circuit on Field  
790, St. Peter - P.17/95.  
Sport, Leisure and Recreation  
Committee.

6. Draft Matrimonial Causes  
(Amendment No. 8) (Jersey) Law 199 -  
P.18/95.  
Presented by the Legislation  
Committee.

7. Draft Shellfish (Underwater  
Fishing) (Jersey) Regulations 199 -  
P.19/95.  
Presented by the Agriculture and  
Fisheries Committee.

Arrangement of public business for the next  
meeting on 28th February 1995

THE STATES confirmed that the following subjects  
lodged ``au Greffe" would be considered at the  
next meeting on 28th February 1995 -

Health and social services in Jersey -  
P.167/94.  
Lodged: 13th December 1994.  
Policy and Resources Committee.

Draft Terms of Employment (Jersey)  
Regulations 199 - P.11/95.  
Lodged: 31st January 1995.  
Industrial Relations Committee.

Marine Protection Zone - P.12/95.  
Lodged: 31st January 1995.  
Planning and Environment Committee.

Draft Social Security  
(Reciprocal Agreement with New Zealand)  
(Jersey) Act 199 - P.13/95.  
Lodged: 14th February 1995.  
Employment and Social Security

Committee.

Draft Advocates (Amendment No. 3)  
(Jersey) Law 1995 (Appointed Day) Act  
199 - P.14/95.  
Lodged: 14th February 1995.  
Legislation Committee.

Projet de Loi (199 ) sur la Cour pour  
le recouvrement de menues dettes - P.15/95.  
Lodged: 14th February 1995.  
Legislation Committee.

Draft Compulsory Purchase of  
Land (Procedure) (Amendment No. 6) (Jersey)  
Law 199 - P.16/95.  
Lodged: 14th February 1995.  
Legislation Committee.

Draft Shellfish (Underwater Fishing)  
(Jersey) Regulations 199 - P.19/95.  
Lodged: 14th February 1995.  
Agriculture and Fisheries  
Committee.

Consultations with COGEMA - questions and  
answers (Tape No. 271)

Deputy Gary Matthews of St. Brelade asked Deputy  
Michael Adam Wavell of St. Saviour, President of  
the Defence Committee, the following questions -

1. Would the President confirm that  
the Defence Committee and the  
Guernsey Civil Defence Committee  
are in consultation with COGEMA at  
La Hague regarding a 'memorandum  
of understanding'?
2. What is the nature and purpose of the  
memorandum?
3. When is the memorandum likely to be  
signed, who will sign it and under what  
authority?
4. Will the memorandum be made available  
to States' Members, and will its  
contents be made public?
5. Will the President ask COGEMA to  
respond in writing to the issues raised  
in Dr. Edwin Lyman's report on 'Safety  
Issues in the Sea Transport of

Vitrified High-Level Radioactive Wastes to Japan' (December 1994) at the earliest opportunity?"

The President of the Defence Committee replied as follows -

1. I confirm that the Defence Committee and Guernsey Civil Defence Board, are in discussions with each other and with COGEMA regarding the setting up of a formal system of quick and efficient communication of information between La Hague and the Channel Islands. This reflects the considerable progress that has been made during the past 12 months in the supply of information from COGEMA to the Insular Authorities.
2. The draft 'memorandum of understanding' was proposed by COGEMA on 6th January 1995 as a means of putting on a more formal basis arrangements that already exist for the exchange of information between the Channel Islands and COGEMA. The Channel Islands authorities did not invite such an agreement, but were happy to consider COGEMA's proposals.
3. The discussions are still at an early stage and I do not know when, or if, the memorandum of understanding will be signed. It is clearly desirable that the authorities in Jersey and Guernsey should have a common approach to this matter and therefore we will complete our discussions with our Guernsey colleagues before presenting a Channel Islands approach to COGEMA. The Defence Committee is currently charged with responsibility for the well-being and safety of the people of Jersey with regard to nuclear matters. Consequently, if an agreement is reached, it will be signed in the name of the Defence Committee.
4. As I mentioned earlier, the memorandum of understanding is still in draft form at this stage. It has been discussed by the Defence Committee and further discussions are still taking place. It is too early to say what the final form

of the agreement might be and what matters it might cover. It would be premature to make any further comment on the document until the discussions have been concluded, but I undertake to keep members fully informed of the progress of the discussions. If an agreement is signed in the name of the Defence Committee, it would in the normal course of events be available to members, and the Committee would intend to make its contents public.

I would make one further point. The Defence Committee takes very seriously its responsibilities to the people of Jersey for their well-being and safety in respect of nuclear matters. The Committee does not doubt the sincerity of the approach of Deputy Matthews and others in this matter. In turn it is entitled to expect that the seriousness of its own approach be recognized, even when that approach differs from that of Deputy Matthews and like-minded members.

5. Whilst I am aware that Dr. Edwin Lyman addressed the recent conference on nuclear issues, he has no relationship, formal or informal, with my Committee, and the Committee does not know with what authority he speaks. The Committee has not received the report on 'Safety Issues in the Sea Transport of Vitrified High Level Radioactive Wastes to Japan' and thus I am unable to comment on it. One assumes that Dr. Lyman is a private citizen and thus is perfectly capable of approaching COGEMA either in his own name or in the name of any persons or organizations that he may claim to represent. I wish to make it clear that the Defence Committee is not, and will not be a spokesman for Dr. Lyman, nor for COGEMA, nor for any pressure group (whether pro or anti nuclear). However, if Deputy Matthews considers that Dr. Lyman's report raises serious issues relating to the health and safety of Jersey people, I invite him to bring these issues to the attention of the Defence Committee, so that Committee members may evaluate the arguments for themselves, seek expert advice where appropriate and decide

whether to raise the issues with COGEMA or the International Maritime Organization or other international safety organizations."

Hormone replacement therapy - questions and answers (Tape No. 271)

Deputy Imogen Stephanie Nicholls of Grouville asked Deputy Terence Augustine Le Sueur of St. Helier, President of the Employment and Social Security Committee, the following questions -

1. Will the President please advise the States whether the Employment and Social Security Committee's decision to exclude transdermal oestrogen patches - as used in hormone replacement therapy (HRT) - from the list of medicines available for prescription by general practitioners was taken on budgetary or medicinal grounds. If it is the latter, on whose advice was the decision taken?
2. Would the President not accept that by making this method of therapy more expensive and more exclusive, he is denying freedom of choice to a substantial proportion of Jersey women? What reasons would the President give for denying this choice? Does he consider that such reasons should be acceptable to the women of Jersey?
3. Is the President aware that one of the results of the current policy will be to put far more pressure on the consultants and that the amount of professional time being wasted will actually cost the public purse far more than if the patches were available on the general list?
4. Is the President aware of the current concerns about long-term effects from prolonged usage of HRT pills and can he explain why neither his Committee, nor indeed the Public Health Committee, seems to be taking seriously this concern for Island women's health?"

The President of the Employment and Social Security Committee replied as follows -

1. In order to reply in full to this question, it may be helpful to Deputy Nicholls and other Members of the States if I begin by explaining the way in which applications for new drug items are considered for inclusion in the Prescribed List.

The Employment and Social Security Committee is supported in its task by a body known as the Pharmaceutical Benefit Advisory Committee (PBAC) which was set up under the Health Insurance (Jersey) Law 1967.

The membership of this body consists of -

an independent chairman and two other persons (traditionally lay people);

a pharmaceutical chemist or doctor (independent);

the Medical Officer of Health;

two approved medical practitioners and three approved suppliers;

a hospital consultant;

a representative of the Department of Employment and Social Security.

Application for new drug items to be added to the Prescribed List can only be made by medical practitioners and registered dentists in addition to requests for alternative strengths and formulations. Registered pharmacists may submit applications for additional strengths and formulations of drug items already on the List.

In considering applications, the PBAC reviews supporting information submitted by the applicant and also has regard to the following -

whether the drug is of proven efficacy and therapeutic value;

the range of similar drugs already

on the Prescribed List and respective costs;

the details and comments in the British National Formulary and any restrictions that apply;

all other information available worldwide, for example, through drugs and therapeutic bulletins, and the United Kingdom Committee on Safety of Medicines, and, locally from the Department of Health and regular analysis of prescribing trends in the Island;

independent medical advice.

My Committee and I have considerable respect for the careful consideration given to applications by the PBAC under the very able chairmanship of Jurat Hamon.

Moving on to your specific question, an application for oestrogen patches was last made to the PBAC in October 1993. I understand that it was refused at the time for medical rather than budgetary reasons. A reasonable range of similar drugs - three different forms of oestrogen tablets - were already available on the Prescribed List. The medical view was that only a very few patients were unlikely to tolerate oral therapy. In these circumstances it would probably be appropriate to refer them to hospital consultants in any event.

As Members will appreciate, medical opinion about certain drugs can change as more experience is gained of their use. The law therefore allows repeat applications to be made after 12 months. I did ask for the subject to be discussed at a recent meeting of the PBAC, at which time it was accepted that a renewed application for inclusion on the Prescribed List would be considered carefully and sympathetically. However, since the original application in 1993 there have been no further requests from medical practitioners.

2. The issue is more complex than the question implies. There is no medical evidence that a substantial proportion of Jersey women should use oestrogen patches. The medical advice is that hormone replacement therapy (HRT) needs to be tailored to the individual requirements of each patient. The important point is that women should have access to HRT treatment if their doctors so advise and if they so choose. My Committee has given women in Jersey that choice for many years. The Prescribed List, as I have said, currently includes three different types of oestrogen tablets which are suitable for most women requiring HRT. The Public Health Committee has agreed that patches will continue to be available to those women who need such treatment for medical reasons.

3. I have no information to suggest that the overall cost to the public purse would be any less if patches were included in the Prescribed List. Indeed, I suspect it would increase costs. Patches are popular with some women because they are simpler to use but they are a very expensive form of treatment.

The price of the oestrogen tablets on the Prescribed List range from £3.50 to £4.99 with the majority of women using one costing £4.05. Patches, at around £9.95, are well over twice the average cost of the equivalent dosage of tablets. We estimate that patches would add around £70,000 to the drugs bill. Consultants' time, if directed to those few women who need patches on medical grounds, should be substantially less costly.

At this point I think it is important to consider these costs in the context of the drugs bill as a whole which has been increasing at a worrying rate over recent years. Total expenditure rose to just over £6 million in the year September 1993/94 and it is predicted that it will continue to grow quickly to over £10 million by the end of this decade.

Members will recall that contributions to the Health Fund had to be increased by ½ per cent last year and prescription charges raised because expenditure was exceeding income. At present, my Department is working with doctors to try to keep drug costs under control, for example, encouraging use of more generic drugs.

My Committee is also currently examining options available for the future as part of its review of the Social Security and Health Schemes and will be consulting on this during the coming year. Jersey is not alone in trying to cope with rising drug costs and many countries throughout the world have already taken action to control drug spending by better prescribing. We must ensure that, whilst maintaining high standards of care, the Island has a system it can afford to support in the foreseeable future.

4. I am not aware of any concern from the medical profession on this issue. Indeed, I believe the views of members of the local medical profession and the PBAC, on which we have to rely substantially, and whom we have consulted recently, are that, such concern is unfounded.

As you will appreciate from the procedures I have described, all new drugs are vetted very carefully before being included on the List. This slightly cautious approach has served the Island well over the years for the benefit of the health of all women, and men, on the Island."

Jersey College for Girls - statement

The Connétable of St. Lawrence, President of the Education Committee, made a statement in the following terms -

``At the States meeting on 31st January 1995, in responding to questions raised by Deputy A.J. Layzell of St. Brelade, I undertook to make a statement to the House once my Committee had fully considered the

policy and procedures for admission to Jersey College for Girls.

The current policy was defined in 1981, in consultation with the heads of the Colleges, when the Committee of that time agreed that admission to the Colleges should be decided on the following criteria -

(i) That the maximum number to be admitted in each year should be agreed by the Education Committee in consultation with the heads of each College. The size of the intake into Victoria College is likely to be larger than that into Girls' College. At the present time, Victoria College operates on a four form entry and the Girls' College on a three form entry.

(ii) Assessment tests

Both Colleges will set their own tests in English and mathematics, and will take account of the verbal reasoning quotient and a school report. In addition, Girls' College will set a general paper.

(iii) Interviews

Applicants for places will be formally interviewed only in those cases where it is necessary in order to determine whether or not a place should be offered, that is, those candidates who are around the borderline in terms of ability.

(iv) Waiting lists

Both Colleges will establish waiting lists for all year groups and places on those waiting lists will be determined by reference to performance in assessment tests.

As I stated on 31st January, the new principal of Jersey College for Girls applied this policy when considering applications for admission to the College for September 1995.

The issues relating to admissions to Jersey College for Girls have now been subject to

thorough examination by my Committee and, at the meeting of 8th February, it was decided to amend the 1981 policy so that, in future -

all pupils should be assessed for entrance to Key Stage 2 at both Victoria College Preparatory and Jersey College for Girls Preparatory;

both Colleges should publish to prospective applicants their policy and procedures and criteria for admission;

both preparatory schools should, as one element of their assessment, recording and reporting procedures, advise parents as early as possible when there is any doubt that their child may not meet the entry requirements of the College.

It also decided that it would, provided agreement could be reached with the Planning and Environment Committee to the siting of temporary classrooms at Jersey College for Girls, establish sufficient additional places to provide four forms of entry from September 1995 and admit those pupils from Jersey College for Girls Preparatory School who, it is judged, would meet the demands of the academic curriculum offered at Jersey College for Girls.

The Education Committee has instructed the Director of Education to meet with the principal of Jersey College for Girls, the headteacher of Victoria College, the acting headteacher of JCG Preparatory School and the headmaster of Victoria College Preparatory School to determine the basis for establishing the minimum requirement, the means of assessment and the procedure for admission for future years. At the same time, as part of this exercise, the future relationship of the main colleges with the preparatory schools will be clearly defined for the future.

Once the framework for admissions has been established and approved by the Education Committee and the governors of Victoria College, decisions about whether individual pupils from the preparatory

schools reach the required standard would be a matter for the headmaster of Victoria College and the principal of Jersey College for Girls in consultation with the respective headteachers of the preparatory schools.

In this way, it will be possible to balance the need for continuity of education against the ability of pupils to benefit from the academic curriculum on offer and parents will have an early indication where their children will not progress to the Colleges at 11+.

Children from other schools will continue to be offered places on the basis of ability.

The Education Committee will, after receiving the decision of the Planning and Environment Committee, meet with the parents of JCG Preparatory School to clarify the policy and discuss the implications for their children."

Future Island misuse of drugs strategy - statement

The President of the Defence Committee, on behalf of the Defence, Finance and Economics, Public Health and Education Committees, made a statement in the following terms -

“In the last few years there has been a substantial increase in the availability and use of illegal drugs in the Island and most recently the use of opiate drugs such as heroin.

Existing efforts to control this menace to our society have been directed towards -

increasing the effectiveness of Police and Customs enforcement,

maintaining effective deterrents through the judicial system,

raising public awareness of the dangers of drug misuse through the Education and Health Promotion Services and ensuring that drugs education was introduced into all schools,

improving treatment and rehabilitation facilities for those affected by drug misuse.

It must be evident to members of this House that despite these considerable efforts, misuse of drugs remains a major threat to our community.

I wish to advise the House that the Presidents of Defence, Finance and Economics, Public Health and Education have agreed to form a Presidents' Strategy Group on Drug Misuse which has undertaken to report to the States within three months. The objective is to produce a States Strategy on Drug Misuse which will define the way ahead with emphasis on demand/harm reduction, and greater public awareness whilst maintaining and enhancing enforcement policies.

The Presidents of the Committees have agreed that their respective Chief Officers will form a Misuse of Drugs Action Group to consult and prepare material for inclusion in the report to the States.

The House will be pleased to learn that, following detailed negotiations commencing in July 1994 between the President of Defence and the President of Finance and Economics Committee, which is the Committee to which the Agent of the Impôts reports, an agreement has been reached between the two Committees that the Defence Committee should be responsible for the co-ordination of a drug enforcement policy.

Additionally, both Committees have agreed to establish a combined Police and Customs Drug Intelligence Bureau in which Customs and Police Intelligence Officers will work together in a common location. This is seen as a significant step in accelerating the co-ordination of the Island's efforts directed against the misuse of drugs."

States' housing rental scheme: revision - P.166/94 and P.3/95

THE STATES commenced consideration of a proposition of Deputy Alan Breckon of St.

Saviour regarding the revision of the States housing rental scheme.

After discussion, the States adopted paragraph (2) and rescinded paragraph 2 of their Act, dated 31st March 1992 on Housing Strategy for the 90's: supplementary report (P.23/92) when they ``agreed that from April 1995 all States tenants on incomes of £500 a week in 1991 values, suitably adjusted for inflation, will pay a rent equal to one-quarter of income or the fair rent for the property occupied, whichever is the higher, subject to those tenants having the right to claim back monies paid to the Committee over and above the fair rent if they move out of States' accommodation within a period of five years; and subject also to the Housing Committee exercising discretion in individual cases of hardship to waive this provision;".

Members present voted on paragraph (2) as follows -

``Pour" (27)

Senators

Shenton, Rothwell, Le Maistre, Stein,  
Quérée, Bailhache, Syvret.

Connétables

St. Lawrence, St. Mary, St. Brelade, St.  
Peter, St. Helier, St. Saviour, St. Martin,  
St. Ouen.

Deputies

Wavell(S), H. Baudains(C), Le Geyt(S),  
Carter(H), Johns(H), Duhamel(S),  
Matthews(B), Layzell(B), Breckon(S),  
Grouville, St. Martin, St. John.

``Contre" (18)

Senators

Horsfall, Tomes.

Connétables

St. Clement, Grouville, Trinity, St. John.

Deputies

Rumboll(H), Norman(C), St. Peter, Le  
Sueur(H), St. Ouen, Huelin(B),  
S. Baudains(H), Walker(H), Pullin(S),  
Trinity, Dorey(H), Huet(H).

Paragraphs (1) and (3) of the proposition were referred to the Housing Committee for report.

Draft Firearms (Jersey) Law 199 - P.172/94

THE STATES acceded to the request of the President of the Defence Committee that consideration of the draft Firearms (Jersey) Law 199 (lodged ``au Greffe" on 20th December 1994) be deferred from the present meeting to a later date.

H.M. Inspector of Constabulary, Mr. Colin Smith

The President of the Assembly welcomed to the States Mr. Colin Smith, CVO, CBE, QPM, who had recently taken up his appointment as H.M. Inspector of Constabulary.

Medicines (Jersey) Law 1995 - P.106/94 and amendments - P.4/95

THE STATES commenced consideration of the draft Medicines (Jersey) Law 199 and, having accepted amendments prepared by the Public Health Committee, adopted the Preamble and the Articles.

THE STATES, subject to the sanction of Her Most Excellent Majesty in Council, adopted a Law entitled the Medicines (Jersey) Law 1995.

Golf course at Les Quennevais camp site and associated land, St. Brelade - P.2/95

THE STATES, adopting a proposition of the Planning and Environment Committee -

- (a) supported the Planning and Environment Committee's intention to grant permission for the construction of a nine-hole golf course on Fields Nos. 12, 12A, 16, 16A, 18, 19, 50, 52, 54, 55, 56, 57, 72, 73 and other land at St. Brelade, measuring approximately 150 vergées, as shown on drawing

No. 409/3, part of which land is situated in an area designated as Green Zone on the Island Map, as amended 1/87;

- (b) agreed the cession free of charge by the public to the Bosdet Foundation of an area of land at St. Brelade measuring approximately 13.5 vergées and shown designated as Area A on drawing No. 409/4;
- (c) agreed the cession free of charge by the Bosdet Foundation to the public of an area of land at St. Brelade measuring approximately 15.2 vergées shown designated as Area B on Drawing No. 409/4;
- (d) agreed to the lease by the public from the Bosdet Foundation of an area of land at St. Brelade and measuring approximately 29 vergées shown designated as Area C on drawing No. 409/4, for a period of 100 years at an annual rent of £10 commuted to a single payment of £1,000;
- (e) authorised the Attorney General and the Greffier of the States to pass on behalf of the public any contracts which it might be found necessary to pass in connexion with the said land and all interests therein;
- (f) authorised the payment or discharge of the expenses incurred in connexion with the said land and all interests therein from the Planning and Environment Committee's vote of credit R.5215 ``Conservation - Countryside Management".

Agriculture (Loans) (Amendment No. 9) (Jersey) Regulations 1995 - P.7/95

THE STATES, in pursuance of Article 2 of the Agriculture (Loans and Guarantees) (Jersey) Law 1974, made Regulations entitled the Agriculture (Loans) (Amendment No. 9) (Jersey) Regulations 1995.

Drug Trafficking Offences (Designated Countries and Territories) (Amendment No. 5) (Jersey)

Regulations 1995 - P.9/95

THE STATES, in pursuance of Article 18 of the Drug Trafficking Offences (Jersey) Law 1988, as amended, made Regulations entitled the Drug Trafficking Offences (Designated Countries and Territories) (Amendment No. 5) (Jersey) Regulations 1995.

THE STATES rose at 3.55 p.m.

C.M. NEWCOMBE

Deputy Greffier of the States.